WARNING: DEFAULT NOTICE - OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY RE:

CAUTION - Unlawful Breach of the People's Peace by causing:

Alarm and distress by discrimination, harassment and misrepresentation, in respect to COVID-19.

CEASE your claimed enforcement rights, and DESIST until this dispute settled.

Notice to principal is notice to agent, notice to agent is notice to principal

1) **This** *[day]* **of** *[month]* **in the year 2021,**

2) **I**, *[enter your first name and surname]* **;**

a) **Contactable at**: *[enter easiest regular contact details* **;**

3) **do serve you:** *[enter their first name and surname / acting title]* **;**

a) **Contactable at:** *[enter easiest regular contact details* **;**

4) **At:**

[enter the location or service method]

5) **If this notice is a LETTER BEFORE ACTION: DEFAULT NOTICE - OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY**

a) **YOU HAVE FAILED TO RESPOND, AND HENCE, FAILED TO TAKE ANY ACTION,**

b) **TO SETTLE MY DISPUTE THAT *I AM INNOCENT UNTIL PROVEN GUILTY*;**

c) **OVER YOUR CLAIMED RIGHTS OF ENFORCEMENT IN RESPECT OF COVID-19;**

d) **AS DETAILED IN MY WARNING NOTICE SERVED TO YOU ON THE / /** ,

i) **That WARNING removed your lawful excuse of negligence.**

e) **Should you fail to act upon this LETTER BEFORE ACTION,**

i) **Being my second witness of your dishonour, bad faith and unclean hands under your obligations to uphold the people's peace,**

ii) **that will remove your lawful excuse of gross negligence.**

f) **This LETTER BEFORE ACTION details the WARNING and CAUTION for your convenience.**

6) **If this is notice is: A WARNING: DEFAULT NOTICE - OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY**

a) **YOU HAVE FAILED TO RESPOND, AND HENCE, FAILED TO TAKE ANY ACTION,**

b) **TO SETTLE MY DISPUTE THAT *I AM INNOCENT UNTIL PROVEN GUILTY*;**

c) **OVER YOUR CLAIMED RIGHTS OF ENFORCEMENT IN RESPECT OF COVID-19;**

d) **AS DETAILED IN MY CAUTION NOTICE SERVED TO YOU ON THE / /** ,

i) **That caution removed your lawful excuse of honest mistake.**

WARNING: DEFAULT NOTICE - OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY RE:

CAUTION - Unlawful Breach of the People's Peace by causing:

Alarm and distress by discrimination, harassment and misrepresentation, in respect to COVID-19.

CEASE your claimed enforcement rights, and DESIST until this dispute settled.

Notice to principal is notice to agent, notice to agent is notice to principal

e) Should you fail to act upon this WARNING,

i) Being my first witness of your dishonour, bad faith and unclean hands under your obligations to uphold the people's peace,

ii) that will remove your lawful excuse of negligence.

f) This WARNING details the CAUTION for your convenience.

7) If this notice is: A CAUTION FOR ALLEDGED BREACH OF THE PEOPLE'S PEACE:

a) We live in society to control the extremes of man's destructive nature to reduce natures ravages, and

b) This creates every human's duties, rights and obligations to uphold the people's peace,

c) and in particular, your actions are:

i) DISCRIMINATION, codified common law in the Equality Act 2010, and

ii) HARASSMENT AND STALKING, codified common law in the Protection from Harassment Act 1997, and

iii) FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION, Should you continue in your belief that your actions are lawful, and the court rules they are unlawful, codified common law in the Fraud Act 2006, which additionally is a criminal offence.

8) AS CLAIMANT OF THE RIGHT TO ENFORCE, I PUT YOU TO STRICT PROOF OF THE LAWFULNESS OF YOUR CLAIM, AS THE PEOPLE ARE INNOCENT UNTIL PROVEN GUILTY.

9) BASED UPON MY EVIDENCE SUMMARISED HERE, AND SUPPORTED IN SCHEDULE 1 - STATEMENT OF CASE, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

a) BY THE ADMISSION of the UK Cabinet Office, House of Commons, House of Lords, Department of Health and Social Care and Public Health England, and hence it is settled so there is no dispute, that they hold no records (evidence), thereby confirming:

i) SARS-CoV2 has no unique RNA, and

ii) SARS-CoV-2 has never been isolated in the normal understanding of 'isolation',

iii) nor by the scientific gold standard of causation (Koch's Postulates), and

iv) SARS-CoV2 does not cause COVID-19, and

v) COVID-19 causes no previously unknown harm.

(FOI admissions can be downloaded here: https://www.dropbox.com/s/qeu6rctmo5j5wy0/FOIs.pdf?dl=0)

b) **Further**, the Cabinet Office has admitted, and hence it is settled so there is no dispute, they merely acted upon an, to date, **undeclared source of advice** that: ***"Coronavirus Disease, or Covid-19, is caused by a new virus called SARS-CoV-2."*** **[page 13 of the FOI's]**

c) **Further**, House of Lords admitted, and hence it is settled so there is no dispute, they merely **assumed:** ***"Evidence submitted to the inquiry starts from the premise (which so far as we know is uncontested) that the SARS-COV-2 virus causes the COVID-19 illness"*** **[page 35 of FOI's]**

d) **BY YOUR IRRATIONAL BELIEF**: to the perceived problem summarised from SCHEDULE 1: figure 1, giving the following balance of probabilities:

i) **PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPLE =** Each only has the equal probability of being true or false = 50%, and cumulative probabilities are shown in square brackets in bold, using what Pasteur believed at the time to determine:

(1) **The balance of probabilities** show a probability of it being true is **0.39%,** and

ii) **HM government's own admissions** shows a probability of it being true is **0%.**

iii) **THE SCIENTIFIC RISK ANALYSIS based upon:**

(1) Lessons learned from the science in 1918/9: probability of it being true is **0%**.

(2) Lessons learned from science upto end 2019: probability of it being true is **0%**.

(3) Lessons learned from science since COVID-19: probability of it being true is **0%.**

e) **BY YOUR DISPROPORTIONAL REACTION** to the perceived problem summarised from Schedule 1:

i) **Proportionality: actual harm to a reasonable person;** The harm caused only by COVID-19 as at 30/11/2020 in England and Wales as a % of the total death is below 1% of normal deaths.. Therefore is statistically insignificant, and to implement any public intervention is irrational**!**

ii) **Proportionality: Vitamin D;** Extensive studies show vitamin D deficiency has been found present in 80% of cases , it is cheap, it is a lifestyle choice and should be the only non pharmaceutical interventions ("NPI") as it is neither reasonable, nor proportionate, and

(1) **no one can lawfully benefit from their own cause of action!**

iii) Proportionality: comorbidities; These are largely self inflicted, and that makes it solely the responsibility of the individual.

(1) In any event, the non pharmaceutical interventions do not tackle these problems and hence are unreasonable, and unlawful, and

(2) **no one can lawfully benefit from their own cause of action!**

iv) Proportionality: old age; Figure 2 shows average remaining life years, favouring the elderly, and for 11% of Covid-19 related death to save 7.52% of the most vulnerable remaining life years cannot be reasonably supported on any logical or moral grounds.

(1) **Further unproven non pharmaceutical interventions do not even address this question, and**

(2) **growing older is part of the natural cycle of life!**

10) I SPECIFICALLY REQUIRE YOUR ANSWERS THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS, supported by peer reviewed science experimentally replicated by two independent scientists showing:

a) How can they scientifically determine that someone has died of COVID-19 when they have suspended autopsies in the UK under emergency measures?

b) SARS-COV-2 causes COVID-19.

c) **(**RT)PCR and Lateral test can determine infectious carriers (asymptomatic).

d) Accuracy of (RT)PCR and Lateral test (without (RT)PCR circular logical fallacy)

e) The percentage reduction in risks of someone getting infected by COVID-19 when:

i) social distancing

ii) wearing a face covering / mask

iii) getting tested

iv) isolating

v) lockdown

f) Where are all the bio-hazard waste bins for all these contagious masks?

g) If COVID-19 is so contagious why does it need a swab so deep into the nose, surely a sterile tissue to blow your nose and cough into are more than enough?

h) If non pharmaceutical interventions have got rid of the normal coronavirus strains of colds and flu', why do they not work against COVID-19?

i) How have they scientifically determined the so-called 'variants' and therefore how can they scientifically prove that the existing vaccines can be effective against these variants?

j) **And In regards experimental genetic therapy and or vaccines:**:

i) By How much does each recommended brand reduce my risks of getting infected?

ii) What are the probabilities of each known side affect?

iii) Where can I see the published peer reviewed science of your above claims?

11) **ADDITIONALLY, I DISPUTE YOUR BELIEVED ENFORCEMENT RIGHTS ARE LAWFUL AS: You have claimed to be acting under the authority of legislation which is not law, but merely advice or guidance to the public,** as further supported in SCHEDULE 2 - LAWFUL AND LEGAL BASIS OF DISPUTE - CAUSE OF ACTION, summarised as follows:

a) **FIRSTLY: To see what Acts of Parliament, and legislation are,** we go to legislation.gov.uk website at https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ website. It has a link to Understanding Legislation (https://www.legislation.gov.uk/understanding-legislation) which tells us there are only 2 types of legislation, and **Scrolling down we come to Case Law: "**Case law is the set of rulings from court judgements that set precedents for how the law has been interpreted and applied in certain cases. **Case law is not held on legislation.gov.uk**."

b) **This admits, and hence it is settled as there is no dispute, that neither primary, nor secondary legislation is law, and you will not find any law on this website,**

c) **SECONDLY**: That Acts of Parliament are merely public guidance and advice, is admitted, and hence settled so is not in dispute, to be for governance to fulfil the monarch's first promise to **"...govern the peoples of [list of territories], according to their [the people's] respective laws and customs"** as affirmed in the last sentence of the Crown and Parliament Recognition Act 1689 *'... **and as such ought to be** [= consent] reputed taken and obeyed by all the People of this Kingdome.'*

d) **THIRDLY:** Acts of Parliament admit, and hence it is settled so not in dispute, who the advising and consenting authorised contracting parties are. thereby limiting their jurisdiction where they hold the force of law, on their title pages:

"Be it enacted by the **Queen's most Excellent Majesty**, **by and with the advice and consent** of the **Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons**, in this present Parliament assembled, **and by the authority of the same**, as follows -"

e) **FOURTHLY:** It is legislatively affirmed in §49 of the Senior Court Act 1981 that the rules of equity prevail in the event of a conflict with the common law, and what is fair and just is determined by each individual's conscience, thereby admitting, and hence settled and not in dispute, that Acts of Parliament are not law.

f) **FIFTHLY:** The law cannot demand the impossible. Acts of Parliament, being the creations of fictions of law, by impossibility therefore cannot be law except law for their creators (jurisdiction),

12) **FOR ANY OF YOUR ACTIONS TO HAVE THE FORCE OF LAWFUL YOU ARE REQUIRED TO PROVE YOUR CLAIM THAT:**

a) **FIRSTLY, it must be Possible (the law cannot demand the impossible).**

b) **SECONDLY, it must be rational:**

i) **which specifically is to control the madness of crowds,** which are based upon dogmatic beliefs under

ii) the **binding precedence** of Council of Civil Service Unions v Minister for the Civil Service [1985] AC 374),

iii) where Lord Diplock said that a decision would be

iv) **'IRRATIONAL—AND SO UNLAWFUL'** if it were:

"so outrageous in its defiance of logic or of accepted moral standards that no sensible person who had applied his mind to the question to be decided could have arrived at it."

v) **THIRDLY, it must be reasonable** (tied in with rational) by considering the consequence, and hence impact, of chosen actions on others, including other creations.

13) **YOU ARE LAWFULLY OBLIGED TO IMMEDIATELY CEASE ANY ENFORCEMENT RIGHTS YOU MAY BELIEVE YOU HAVE IN REGARDS COVID-19.**

WARNING: DEFAULT NOTICE - OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY RE:

CAUTION - Unlawful Breach of the People's Peace by causing:

Alarm and distress by discrimination, harassment and misrepresentation, in respect to COVID-19.

CEASE your claimed enforcement rights, and DESIST until this dispute settled.

Notice to principal is notice to agent, notice to agent is notice to principal

14) **FURTHER, YOU ARE LAWFULLY REQUIRED TO DESIST ANY FURTHER ENFORCEMENT RIGHTS YOU MAY BELIEVE YOU HAVE UNTIL THIS DISPUTE IS SETTLED**.

15) **TO SETTLE THIS DISPUTE, I REQUIRE SERVICE TO:**

[your email] @ , and

Witness 1: Witness1civil@protonmail.com, and

Witness 2: Witness2civil@protonmail.com.

16) **FULL, ACCURATE AND COMPLETE RESPONSE REQUIRED: Should you unlawfully claim what you cannot rightfully claim, by creating further dispute where none exists:** your actions of doing so, as equity acts in personum and will not suffer a wrong without a remedy, (your actions speak louder than your words), is your acceptance of this offer to contract that you will be charged for my time at £5000.00, in legal tender, per hour or part hour, rounded up to the nearest hour, per occasion, payable within 7 days of service.

NOTE: Breaching my peace is a trespass upon me, for which only I can determine remedy, Unlike PCN's from fictions of law who suffer no harm, or PCN's based upon fraudulent property rights which require proof of contract to be sustainable under the rules of equity.

17) **NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT, NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL :** As either an order giver or receiver, you must immediately notify your principals and your agents of this expressed dispute so that they too can re-evaluate the lawfulness of their actions, as **following orders is not lawful excuse**. Failing to do so puts you under your personal liability for any further harm by your agents and your principal.

   a) **As following orders is not lawful excuse under the binding precedence** Council of Civil Service Unions v Minister for the Civil Service [1985] AC 374) you must;

      i) **Notify your order givers, and**

      ii) **order followers,**

      iii) **the lawfulness of orders in relation to COVID-19 enforcement are disputed**, and

      iv) they must satisfy themselves of their lawfulness before they continue acting upon a belief that their orders are lawful, and do so on their own liability as further, and

18) **ERRORS OR OMMISSIONS EXCEPTED:** I am only human and for not to have made any errors or omissions is close to impossible! PLEASE RESPOND QUOTING MY NUMBERING

WARNING: DEFAULT NOTICE - OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY RE:

CAUTION - Unlawful Breach of the People's Peace by causing:

Alarm and distress by discrimination, harassment and misrepresentation, in respect to COVID-19.

CEASE your claimed enforcement rights, and DESIST until this dispute settled.

Notice to principal is notice to agent, notice to agent is notice to principal

SO I CAN EVALUATE AND CORRECT MY OPNINIONS AS APPROPRIATE AND EMAIL TO:

ErrorsCeaseAndDesist@protonmail.com

SUBJECT: Errors Cease and Desist Notice

OFFER TO SETTLE MY DISPUTE, FOR YOUR BREACH OF THE PEOPLE'S PEACE, BY YOU PROVIDING ME WITH THE FOLOWING UNDERTAKING

19) Should you reach the same logical conclusion as I have;

- **a)** Subject to you having forwarded this notice of dispute to your order followers and order givers previously detailed at point 17, and
- **b)** confirming your agreement by signing the following undertaking to me,
- **c)** scan, or take a picture of that, and
- **d)** entering in the subject line: UNDERTAKING FOR FULL AND FINAL SETTLEMENT OF OUR DISPUTE.
- **e)** attach the scanned or photo of your undertaking, and serve as previously detailed at 15 above.

UNDERTAKING FOR FULL AND FINAL SETTLEMENT OF OUR DISPUTE.

'It is human to err, divine to forgive'

This [day] **of** [month] **in the year 2021, I**, *enter your first name and surname* **;**

Contactable at: *enter easiest regular contact details* **;**

I understand the atrocities of the holocaust in WWII resulted from people 'just following orders', as that was the only solution they saw at the time, to provide for themselves and their families.
I understand the threats and intimidation many are under, and that fear causes most not to stand up and do what most know and feel in their conscience to be right.

In future I undertake that I will always check the orders I receive or give will be lawful.

Signed:

WARNING: DEFAULT NOTICE - OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY RE:

CAUTION - Unlawful Breach of the People's Peace by causing:

Alarm and distress by discrimination, harassment and misrepresentation, in respect to COVID-19.

CEASE your claimed enforcement rights, and DESIST until this dispute settled.

Notice to principal is notice to agent, notice to agent is notice to principal

SHOULD YOU NOT WISH TO ACCEPT MY OFFER TO SETTLE MY DISPUTE WITH YOU

20) I REQUIRE YOU TO PROVE YOUR CLAIMED RIGHTS OF ENFORCEMENT ARE LAWFUL: Upon the evidence, and lawful arguments presented, I have reasonable grounds to believe your actions are unlawful.

21) AS YOUR CLAIM IS DISPUTED, YOU ARE LAWFULLY OBLIGED TO IMMEDIATELY CEASE YOUR CLAIMED RIGHTS AND:

22) DESIST ANY FURTHER RIGHTS OF ENFORCEMENT YOU MAY BELIEVE YOU HAVE UNTIL THIS DISPUTE IS SETTLED;

a) In particular, within 7 days:

i) to provide you with adequate time to review these documents with your superiors, and /or any other advice you may feel necessary to seek, and

ii) Remove any and all visual signage that may cause the disturbance of another's peace by fraudulent misrepresentations of the true threat of the claimed protagonist 'COVID-19', and

iii) cease further intimidation of people with threatening actions when they approach your entrance, or are within your premises, which are intended for public business, as they show no signs of being private, and

b) Serve your response as detailed at 15 above.

FAILURE TO PROVIDE A FULL ACCURATE AND COMPLETE RESPONSE WITHIN 7 DAYS:

23) Your failure settle respond within the offered time will result in a WARNING NOTICE - OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY,

a) granting you a further 4 days to provide an adequate response.

b) This will remove your rights to claim lawful excuse of negligence and

c) is witness 1 of your failure to remedy this dispute.

24) Should you continue to act in dishonour by failing to settle my dispute within the additional 4 days of my offered remedy for your default, that will result in a FINAL OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY BEFORE FURTHER ACTION,

- **a)** granting you a further 3 days to remedy.
- **b)** **This will remove your rights to claim lawful excuse of gross negligence**, and
- **c)** is witness 2 of your failure to remedy this dispute.

25) **Thereafter the matter will be brought for public dispute settlement with your guilty mind - mens rae.**.

This *[day]* **of** *[month]* **in the year 2021, I**, ***[enter your first name and surname]*** **;**

Contactable at: ***[enter easiest regular contact details]***

;

excepting honest errors believe my actions of serving you this notice, and the contents herein are lawful and true.

Signed:

page 30 is my certificate of service of this notice, for my record, should legal action be required.

WARNING: DEFAULT NOTICE - OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY RE:

CAUTION - Unlawful Breach of the People's Peace by causing:

Alarm and distress by discrimination, harassment and misrepresentation, in respect to COVID-19.

CEASE your claimed enforcement rights, and DESIST until this dispute settled.

Notice to principal is notice to agent, notice to agent is notice to principal

SCHEDULE 1 - STATEMENT OF CASE

Supported by: Annex I - COVID-19 Statement of Facts can be downloaded from:
https://www.dropbox.com/s/7gay1tor9yad2q3/ANNEXI-CV19-STATEMENTOFFACTSv20210303.pdf?dl=0

And In any event, based upon this summary evidence:

1) To date, no negative control experiments (to determine single causative agent) have confirmed cell excretions, called different names in different theories, to have isolated a complete 'virus';

a) **Therefore, by impossibility, and by scientific admission**, 'viruses 'are computer generated 'merged' strands of RNA of unknown source.

2) To date, no 'virus' experimentally has been shown to cause an 'infectious disease'.

3) Over 44 international government agencies and universities, including the UK Cabinet Office, House of Commons, House of Lords, Department of Health and Social Care and Public Health England have admitted, and hence it is settled so there is no dispute, they hold no records confirming:

a) SARS-CoV2 has no unique RNA identifiers, and

b) SARS-CoV-2 has never been isolated in the normal understanding of 'isolation', nor by the scientific gold standard of causation (Koch's Postulates), and

c) SARS-CoV2 does not cause COVID-19, and

d) COVID-19 causes no previously unknown harm.

(FOI admissions can be downloaded https://www.dropbox.com/s/qeu6rctmo5j5wy0/FOIs.pdf?dl=0)

4) **Further**, the Cabinet Office have admitted, and hence it is settled so there is no dispute, they merely acted upon an to date **undeclared source of advice** that: ***"Coronavirus Disease, or Covid-19, is caused by a new virus called SARS-CoV-2."*** **[page 13 of the FOI's]**

5) **Further**, House of Lords admitted, and hence it is settled so there is no dispute, they merely **assumed:**

"Evidence submitted to the inquiry starts from the premise (which so far as we know is uncontested) that the SARS-COV-2 virus causes the COVID-19 illness" **[page 35 of FOI's]**

6) **Hence, by impossibility**, (RT)PCR or any other RNA testing cannot indicate the presence of SARS-CoV-2, or any of its claimed mutations or "variants".

7) **Also by impossibility**, antigen or antibody tests cannot detect the presence of SARS-CoV-2, nor any of its claimed mutations or "variants", nor COVID-19.

- **a)** **Therefore**, making definition of cases by (RT)PCR or any other method irrational, and
- **b)** **Therefore**, making asymptomatic carriers impossible, and
- **c)** **Therefore**, making social distancing irrational, and
- **d)** **Therefore**, making wearing of face covering irrational, and
- **e)** **Therefore**, making isolation irrational, and
- **f)** **Therefore**, making lockdowns irrational, and
- **g)** **Therefore**, making the closure of any organisation irrational, and
- **h)** **Therefore**, making the experimental gene therapy drug 'vaccine' our claimed saviour an impossibility, and
- **i)** **Therefore**, making 'vaccine passports' irrational.

8) **Further,** these admissions affirm the best available science still stands unrebutted (humanities highest truth) to date is as it was in 1919, reaffirmed in 2007, and again in 2018.

9) **Further**, and in any event, during the press conference in answer to a question, on or around the 19/01/2021, Matt Hancock admitted, and hence it is settled, and not disputed, that it was unknown whether the experimental gene therapy drug 'vaccine' would:

- **a)** reduce the risk of getting infected by SARS-CoV2 or any of its mutations ('variants'), nor
- **b)** if it would reduce the severity of the symptoms should you get it, nor
- **c)** if it would increase your chance of getting other complications, and
- **d)** due to the constant mutations there will be endless lockdowns by adding the new tier 4.

WARNING: DEFAULT NOTICE - OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY RE:

CAUTION - Unlawful Breach of the People's Peace by causing:

Alarm and distress by discrimination, harassment and misrepresentation, in respect to COVID-19.

CEASE your claimed enforcement rights, and DESIST until this dispute settled.

Notice to principal is notice to agent, notice to agent is notice to principal

IN ANY EVENT, IT MUST ALSO BE DETERMINED IF COVID-19 IS A THREAT TO OUR WAY OF LIFE REQUIRING SUCH DRACONIAN UNPRECEDENTED MEASURES:

10) To determine irrationality, Figure 1 shows the summary risk assessment,

	Claimed sequence of events (causal relationships)	Pasteur 1850's Balance of probabilities		Lessons 1918/9 Science		Lessons to end 2019 Science		Lessons since 2020 Science	
		Individual probabilities are shown for each claim, followed by the cumulative probability of claims being true							
1	Incoming 'virus' either removes its coating before,	50%	50.00%		0.00%	10%	10.00%	10%	10.00%
2	OR after entering the cell				0.00%	10%	1.00%	10%	1.00%
	FAILS CIVIL LEGAL TEST OF BALANCE OF PROBABILITIES = NEGLIGENCE								
3	Replicates itself using the cell's nucleus	50%	25.00%		0.00%	10%	1.00%	10%	1.00%
	FAILS CIVIL LEGAL TEST OF SCIENTIFIC SIGNIFICANCE (95%) TESTING / SOCIAL DISTANCING / MASKING / ISOLATION / LOCKDOWN = GROSS NEGLIGENCE								
4	the cell can identify the replicated 'virus' so knows it must expel it rather than merely make another copy cell				0.00%	10%	0.10%	10%	0.10%
5	Outgoing 'virus' (pieces of RNA) puts on its coating and leaves the cell interior	50%	12.50%			**100%**	100.00%	100%	100.00%
6	Creating unique symptoms = **HARM**	50%	6.25%	**0%**	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%
7	Testing needed to see if there is something there					**100%**	100.00%	100%	100.00%
8	BUT CANNOT DETERMINE IF INFECTIOUS					10%	10.00%	**1.2%**	1.20%
	FAILS CIVIL LEGAL TEST OF SCIENTIFIC CERTAINTY (99%) VACCINES = RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT OF LIFE WITHOUT CARE AS TO THE CONSEQUENCES								
9	Leaves the body to infect another cell, but	50%	3.13%		0.00%	10%	0.00%	10%	0.00%
10	Social distancing	10%		10%	0.00%	10%	1.00%	10%	0.00%
11	Masks	10%		10%	0.00%	10%	0.00%	10%	0.00%
12	Quarantine / Isolation / Lockdown	10%		10%	0.00%	10%	0.00%	10%	0.00%
13	to find another person who	50%	1.56%	**0%**	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%
14	must be 'infected' to repeat the same process	50%	0.78%	**0%**	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%
15	**And vaccines are the solution**	**50%**	**0.39%**	**0%**	**0.00%**	**0%**	**0.00%**	**0%**	**0.00%**
	FOI DOWNLOAD LINK	https://www.dropbox.com/s/geu6rctmo5j5wy0/FOIs.pdf?dl=0							
	ADMISSIONS AND HENCE THIS IS NOT DISPUTED BY : Cabinet Office; House of Commons; House of Lords; Public Health England and the Department of Health and Social Care that:								
	They have no records of SARS-CoV-2 having any unique identifiable features								
	They have no records of SARS-CoV-2 having ever been isolated								
	They have no records of COVID-19 having any unique identifable symptoms								
	The Cabinet Office admitted they merely followed some undisclosed advice that SARS-COV-2 exists and causes COVID-19								
	The House of Lords admitted they simply assumed SARS-COV-2 existed and that it caused COVID-19								

Figure 1: The Summary probabilities for Causation of the theory that SARS-CoV-2 causes COVID-19

a) which in any event causes no unique harm, and

b) based upon the basic hypothesis of what any 'virus' is, and in particular that SARS-CoV2 or COVID-19 is a major threat to our way of life is totally irrational on the following grounds:

c) **PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPLE =** Each only has the equal probability of being true or false = 50%, and cumulative probabilities are shown in square brackets in bold, using what Pasteur believed at the time to determine:

i) **The balance of probabilities show a probability of it being true is 0.39%,** and

ii) **HM government's own admissions shows a probability of it being true is 0%.**

d) **THE SCIENTIFIC RISK ANALYSIS based upon:**

i) Logical fallacy = 0%;

ii) Expert opinion = 10%;

iii) Peer reviewed +ve = 50% or -ve 25%;

iv) 1st witness peer reviewed +ve 80% or -ve 10%;

v) 2nd witness peer reviewed +ve 100% or -ve 0%

e) Lessons learned from the science in 1918/9: probability of it being true is 0%.

f) Lessons learned from science upto end 2019: probability of it being true is 0%.

g) Lessons learned from science since COVID-19: probability of it being true is 0%.

11) FURTHER, TO DETERMINE PROPORTIONALITY, ANNEX I DETAILS:

i) Proportionality: actual harm to a reasonable person; The harm caused only by COVID-19 as at 30/11/2020 was 4476, adding 10% to year end, deaths in England and Wales as a % of the total death is below 1%. Therefore is statistically insignificant, and to implement any public intervention is irrational**!**

ii) Proportionality: Vitamin D; Extensive studies show vitamin D deficiency has been found present in 80% of cases , it is cheap, it is a lifestyle choice and should be the only non pharmaceutical interventions ("NPI") as it is neither reasonable, nor proportionate, nor lawful that one should gain by their own cause of action!

iii) Proportionality: comorbidities; These are largely self inflicted, and that makes it solely the responsibility of the individual.

iv) In any event, the non pharmaceutical interventions do not tackle these problems and hence are unreasonable, and unlawful, as no one can gain by their own causes of action!

v) Proportionality: old age; Figure 2 shows average remaining life years, favouring the elderly, and for 11% of Covid related death to save 7.52% of the most vulnerable remaining life years cannot be reasonably supported on any logical or moral grounds. **Further unproven non pharmaceutical interventions do not even address this question!**

WARNING: DEFAULT NOTICE - OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY RE:

CAUTION - Unlawful Breach of the People's Peace by causing:

Alarm and distress by discrimination, harassment and misrepresentation, in respect to COVID-19.

CEASE your claimed enforcement rights, and DESIST until this dispute settled.

Notice to principal is notice to agent, notice to agent is notice to principal

Figure 2: EQUALLY WEIGHTED LIFE YEARS REMAINING BY AGE GROUP NO ARGUING - ALL LIVES ARE EQUAL!						
Age Group	<15	16 to 64	65 to 69	70 to 84	85+	Totals
% of Covid deaths [1]	11%		6%	83%		100%
Population in millions mid 2019 [2]	12.7	41.7	3.4	7.4	1.6	66.8
Assumed current age	15	54	69	70	85	
Assumed average age of death	79	80	82	84	89	
Remianing life years	**64**	**26**	**13**	**14**	**4**	
TOTAL LIFE YEARS EQUALLY WEIGHTED	**812.8**	**1084.2**	**44.2**	**103.6**	**6.4**	**2051**
% of life years	39.63%	52.86%	2.15%	5.05%	0.31%	
Cumulative %	**100.00%**	**60.37%**	**7.52%**	**5.36%**	**0.31%**	
[1]_https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/bulletins/deathsinvolvingcovid19englandandwales/deathsoccurringinapril2020						
[2]_https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimates/bulletins/annualmidyearpopulationestimates/mid2019estimates						

vi) Proportionality: I have not even looked at the psychological or economic harms, as in any event, there is no reasonable ground based upon causal grounds!

12) ADDITIONALY, I SPECIFICLY REQUIRE YOUR ANSWERING THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS supported by peer reviewed science, experimentally replicated by two independent scientists showing:

a) If non pharmaceutical interventions have got rid of the normal coronavirus strains of colds and flu, why do they not work against COVID-19?

b) Why no bio-hazard suits, and no bio-hazard waste bins for all these contagious masks?

c) How can they scientifically determine that someone has died of COVID-19 when they have suspended autopsies in the UK under emergency measures?

d) SARS-COV-2 causes COVID-19.

e) **(**RT)PCR and Lateral test can determine infectious carriers (asymptomatic).

f) Accuracy of (RT)PCR and Lateral test (without (RT)PCR circular logical fallacy).

g) The percentage reduction in risks of someone getting infected by COVID-19 when:

i) social distancing

ii) wearing a face covering / mask

iii) getting tested

iv) isolating

v) lockdown

h) If COVID-19 is so contagious why does it need a swab so deep into the nose, surely a sterile tissue to blow your nose and cough into are more than enough?

i) How have they scientifically determined the so-called 'variants' and therefore how can they scientifically prove that the existing vaccines can be effective against these variants?

j) **And In regards to the experimental genetic therapy and or vaccines:**

i) By How much does each recommended brand reduce my risks of getting infected?

ii) What are the probabilities of each known side affect?

iii) Where can I see the published peer reviewed science of your above claims?

end of section.

WARNING: DEFAULT NOTICE - OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY RE:

CAUTION - Unlawful Breach of the People's Peace by causing:

Alarm and distress by discrimination, harassment and misrepresentation, in respect to COVID-19.

CEASE your claimed enforcement rights, and DESIST until this dispute settled.

Notice to principal is notice to agent, notice to agent is notice to principal

SCHEDULE 2 - LAWFUL AND LEGAL BASIS OF DISPUTE - CAUSE OF ACTION

Supported by Annex II - Lawful Basis of Breach of The People's Peace can be downloaded from: https://www.dropbox.com/s/5bbmd92uw2xjvjc/ANNEXII-LawfulBasisOfBreachOfThePeoplesPeacev20210303.pdf?dl=0)

And in any event based upon this summary of the law:

WHY DOES EVERYONE THINKS ACTS OF PARLIAMENT ARE LAW?

1) That is because some Acts of Parliament are codified common law, and that has created tremendous confusion, where even those who are meant to be upholding the rule of law have no idea or way of distinguishing the difference!

2) **FIRSTLY: To see what Acts of Parliament, and legislation are,** we go to legislation.gov.uk website at https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ website. It has a link to Understanding Legislation (https://www.legislation.gov.uk/understanding-legislation) which tells us there are only 2 types of legislation:

- **a)** **Primary Legislation**: **main laws** created by the legislative bodies of the UK, Scottish Parliament, Welsh Parliament and Northern Ireland Assembly, as well as other Primary legislation of Northern Ireland and Church of England Measures.
  - **i)** These are sometimes referred to as 'statutes', and the term 'statute book' refers to the whole of the 'statute law' currently in force.
  - **ii)** It also includes 'certain' (which means they also make their own private laws) Prerogative orders, either as 'Orders in Council' (by the monarch and the Privy Council) or 'Orders of Council' (made by the Lords of the Privy Council without the monarch)
- **b)** **Secondary legislation is any instrument deriving its authority (right to act) from primary legislation** (known as conferred powers of offices, executable by the person filling that office).
- **c)** **Scrolling down we come to Case Law: "**Case law is the set of rulings from court judgements that set precedents for how the law has been interpreted and applied in certain cases. **Case law is not held on legislation.gov.uk**."

d) **This admits, and hence it is settled that neither primary, nor secondary legislation is law, and you will not find any law on this website,**

e) **Further**, it has clarified many misconceptions of definitions, that are so interchangeably used, causing the great confusion as to what is law!

3) **SECONDLY**: That Acts of Parliament are merely public guidance and advice, is admitted, and hence settled so is not in dispute, to be for governance to fulfil the monarch's first promise to "...govern the peoples of [list of territories], according to their respective laws and customs" as affirmed in the last sentence of the Crown and Parliament Recognition Act 1689
*'... **and as such ought to be** **[= consent]** reputed taken and obeyed by all the People of this Kingdome.'*

4) **THIRDLY:** Acts of Parliament admit, and hence it is settled so not in dispute, who the advising and consenting authorised contracting parties are on their title pages:
"Be it enacted by the **Queen's most Excellent Majesty**, **by and with the advice and consent** of the **Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons**, in this present Parliament assembled, **and by the authority of the same**, as follows -"

5) **FOURTHLY:** It is legislatively affirmed in §49 of the Senior Court Act 1981 that the rules of equity prevail in the event of a conflict with the common law, and what is fair and just is determined by each individuals conscience,, thereby admitting, and hence settling, that Acts of Parliament are not law.

6) **FIFTHLY:** as the law cannot demand the impossible. Acts of Parliament, being the creations of fictions of law, by impossibility they cannot be law except law for their creators (jurisdiction),

HOW IS UK GOVERNANCE LAWFUL?

7) **Lawful governance** is by **contract**, where on the 2 June 1953, at the Abbey of Westminster (http://kingscoronation.com/coronation-oath-elizabeth-ii/):

a) The **Archbishop of Canterbury** **offered** Elizabeth Alexandra Mary:

"Will you solemnly promise and swear to govern the Peoples of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, the Union of South Africa, Pakistan, and Ceylon, and of your Possessions and the other Territories to any of them belonging or pertaining, according to their respective laws and customs?"

b) And the **offer to was contract accepted** by Elizabeth Alexandra Mary with the words: ***"I solemnly promise so to do."***

c) The oaths were then sealed in writing.

d) **The powers to legislate** are then conferred upon those, who make affirmations or oaths of allegiance before they can take up the office of MP's in accordance with Parliamentary Oaths Act 1866:
"I (name of Member) [swear by Almighty God] that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to [monarch], [his / her] heirs and successors, according to law. [So help me God].", and

e) **Then powers for the executive** are conferred to those making further affirmations or oaths before serving in any office of HM Government in accordance with Promissory Oaths Act 1868:

f) *"I, (Insert full name), do swear that I will well and truly serve [monarch] in the office of (Insert office of). [So help me God.]"*

HOW IS THE INDEPENDENT JUDICIARY LAWFUL?

8) **The lawful judiciaries independance from governance**, thereby being the balance between those governing and the governed, and supreme dispute settlor when matters cannot be privately settled is also by **contract**, where on the 2 June 1953, at the Abbey of Westminster (http://kingscoronation.com/coronation-oath-elizabeth-ii/):

a) The **Archbishop of Canterbury offered** Elizabeth Alexandra Mary:

b) **"Will you to your power cause Law and Justice, in Mercy, to be executed in all your judgements?"**

c) And the **offer to contract was accepted** by Elizabeth Alexandra Mary with the words: **"I will."**

d) The oaths were then sealed in writing.

e) **These powers** are then conferred upon those who make affirmations or oaths of allegiance in accordance with Promissory Oaths Act 1868:
"I, [name] , do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to [monarch], [his /her] heirs and successors, according to law. [So help me God]."

f) followed by the following oaths of office:

i) **The Lord Chancellor's oath** as the head of the judiciary, in accordance with Promissory Oaths Act 1868:
"I, [name] , do swear that in the office of Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain **I will respect the rule of law, defend the independence of the judiciary** and discharge my duty to ensure the provision of resources for the efficient and effective support of the courts for which I am responsible.[So help me God.]"

ii) **Judicial oath is taken by office holders of The Lord Chief Justice, The Master of the Rolls, The Recorder of London and Justices of the Peace** in accordance with Promissory Oaths Act 1868:
"I, [name] *, do swear that I will well and truly serve [monarch] in the office of , [title of office] and I will do right to all manner of people after the laws and usages of this realm, without fear or favour, affection or illwill. [So help me God.]"*

CONSIDERATION CREATES LAWFULLY ENFORCEABLE CONTRACT:

9) thereby making this a lawfully enforceable contract, Elizabeth Alexandra Mary is granted the 'royal prerogative',

a) Defined in the common law settlement following the departure of King James II , the Bill of Rights 1688, as *'the Crowne and Royall Dignity'*,

b) further detailed in the Bill of Rights 1688 as: *'the Royall State Crowne and Dignity of the said Realmes with all Honours Stiles Titles Regalities Prerogatives Powers Jurisdictions and Authorities to the same belonging and appertaining are most fully rightfully and intirely invested and incorporated united and annexed '* .

HOW IS 'THE RULE OF LAW' LAWFULLY ENFORCED?

10) **Public enforcement is by police constables** who, in accordance with section 29 of Police Act 1996, as amended under section 83. Attestation of constables of Police Reform Act 2002 make the following declaration before a justice of the peace:
"I.....of.....do solemnly and sincerely declare and affirm that I will well and truly serve the [monarch] in the office of constable, ***with fairness, integrity, diligence and impartiality, upholding fundamental human rights and according equal respect to all people****; and that I will, to the best of my power,* ***cause the peace to be kept and preserved and prevent all offences against people and property****; and that while I continue to hold the said office I will, to the best of my skill and knowledge,* ***discharge all the duties thereof faithfully according to law.****"*

11) **Every person has the same lawful powers as the police,** including the same powers of arrest. including the use of reasonable force, legally affirmed in section 24A. Arrest without warrant: other persons of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984

TO DETERMINE WHAT IS LAW AND WHAT IS OPINION WHEN CLAIMING ENFORCEMENT RIGHTS FROM ACT'S OF PARLIAMENT ANY ENFORCER MUST:

12) Provide the binding precedence supporting their claim, creating their duty and right of enforcement, and my duty and obligation to perform at their command, and

- **a)** until then they have no lawful authority to breach the people's peace,
- **b)** lawfully requiring them to immediately cease any enforcement rights they may believe they have, and
- **c)** desist until they have provided reasonable grounds to breach the people's peace. and
- **d)** order following is not lawful excuse as settled in the binding precedence set in Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis v Raissi: CA 12 Nov 2008 [2009] 3 All ER 14, [2009] PTSR 666, [2009] 2 WLR 1243, [2009] QB 564, [2008] EWCA Civ 1237) at 14 " following orders" is not a defence...".
- **e)** Which affirms the rules of equity that 'Equity acts in personaum' and 'Equity will not suffer a wrong without a remedy' and is equitably expressed as:

WARNING: DEFAULT NOTICE - OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY RE:

CAUTION - Unlawful Breach of the People's Peace by causing:

Alarm and distress by discrimination, harassment and misrepresentation, in respect to COVID-19.

CEASE your claimed enforcement rights, and DESIST until this dispute settled.

Notice to principal is notice to agent, notice to agent is notice to principal

'The final discretion to act or not, is that of the person choosing to do so, creating by impossibility that, order following cannot be lawful excuse'

IN ANY EVENT, TO HAVE THE FORCE OF LAW ANY REQUEST:

13) **FIRSTLY, it must be Possible (the law cannot demand the impossible).**

14) **SECONDLY, it must be rational:**
    - **i)** **which is specifically is to control the madness of crowds,**
    - **ii)** which are based upon dogmatic beliefs under the **binding precedence** of
    - **iii)** Council of Civil Service Unions v Minister for the Civil Service [1985] AC 374),
    - **iv)** where Lord Diplock said that a decision would be **'IRRATIONAL—AND SO UNLAWFUL'** if it were:

      "so outrageous in its defiance of logic or of accepted moral standards that no sensible person who had applied his mind to the question to be decided could have arrived at it."

15) **THIRDLY, it must be proportional** by considering the consequence, and hence impact, of chosen actions on others, including other creations.

WHAT EXACTLY IS COMMON LAW?

The monarch's second promise: *"..cause Law and Justice, in Mercy, to be executed in all your judgements?"*

The rule of law: "...cause law and justice, in mercy...

16) Our forefathers have handed us down knowledge to assist in settling disputes between humans, which are known as the rules of equity. By custom, established in the 11th century by Henry II after the Norman invasion, *stare decisus* was introduced to justify the land grab (let an existing decision of the settlement of a dispute stand and be applied to all).

The rule of law: "...*to be executed in all your judgements?*"

17) This custom was changed in the late 16th century by Lord Ellesmere adding 'conscience' (what we feel as to what is fair and just = Equity) must be applied to provide mercy in all judgements, thereby creating **the law of the land, the law in common to that society, or the common law created by the highest court decision, which is the binding precedence the lower courts must follow**.

- **a)** which for upholding the peace should be knowable to all, and
- **b)** understandable to all, and
- **c)** in any event, it is a legal obligation for the courts in every matter to provide as complete a resolution to any dispute, to avoid a multiplicity of suits, by applying the rules of equity which prevail in the event of a conflict with the existing binding precedence (common law), as legislatively affirmed in §49 of the Senior Court Act 1981.
- **d)** Hence conscience is what dictates the common law, and is always lawful excuse based upon a reasonable person.
- **e)** Dispelling the long established myth as a fraudulent misrepresentation that ***"This Court is not, as I have often said, a Court of conscience, but a Court of Law"*.** *Jessel, M.R., In re National Funds Assurance Co. (1878), L. R. 10 C. D. 128*
- **f)** The purpose of the jury is to determine the law as well as the facts, as it is the people's laws and customs, and not those of the governing.

HOW IS THE COMMON LAW CREATED THEN AS THAT IS THE LAW OF THIS LAND?

The monarch's first promise*: "...to govern the peoples of..., according to their respective laws and customs"*

Defining Respective customs:

18) **MY MIND OWNS MY BODY:** as equity considers the beneficiary the true owner - the mind is the beneficiary of the body.

WARNING: DEFAULT NOTICE - OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY RE:

CAUTION - Unlawful Breach of the People's Peace by causing:

Alarm and distress by discrimination, harassment and misrepresentation, in respect to COVID-19.

CEASE your claimed enforcement rights, and DESIST until this dispute settled.

Notice to principal is notice to agent, notice to agent is notice to principal

i) We are born into customs of our parents, derived from their respective laws and customs.

ii) The reason humans choose to live in society is in the belief that the application of logic and reason will reduce the ravages of the state of nature and thereby controlling the destructive human desires, and promoting the constructive desire, for the greater benefit of all.

iii) Our forefathers have passed this logic and reason, (communal past knowledge to which everyone has an equal right) derived from their observations and experiences of life, easily verifiable by each of us as follows:

19) ***It matters not what we believe, when we choose to step over the cliff edge, TRUTH WILL PREVAIL!***

a) **Therefore**, ***TRUTH IS SOVEREIGN AND NOT A HUMAN'S BELIEFS.***

b) Hence, it is the search for truth as expressed in the conscience of a jury, that creates the common law, as it is the peoples respective laws and customs, and not of those governing!

c) **Therefore**, your personal **past** observations and experience = **state of mind = mens rea**, and

d) your personal **present** choices of **action = actus reus**,

e) determine your future actions and will be considered for mercy in judgement.

Defining Respective laws:

20) When personal observation and experience accurately predict outcome those become truths, thereby defining the 'law' (lawful, unlawful) as the highest truth, creating the lawful right to enforce by the creation of those truths, and the 'rule of law' being its enforcement. **Hence, the following are unalienable lawful human rights, enforceable by all, an obligation not to breach by all, and in any event are superior to any and all man created rules by any name or colour of law (fiction as opposed to observable or deducible truth):**

Ignorance of the law is no excuse

To lie is to go against the mind *(meeting of minds = no consent (lying) is fraud)*

WARNING: DEFAULT NOTICE - OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY RE:

CAUTION - Unlawful Breach of the People's Peace by causing:

Alarm and distress by discrimination, harassment and misrepresentation, in respect to COVID-19.

CEASE your claimed enforcement rights, and DESIST until this dispute settled.

Notice to principal is notice to agent, notice to agent is notice to principal

All are equal under the law *(no privileges - what you can do I can do)*

No one is above the law *(no immunity - accountable for harm caused)*

The law operates without fear or favour *(due process, ' the rule of law')*

Innocent until proven guilty *(the claimant must prove their right and your obligation)*

LAWFULLY THE JUDICIARY IS THE SUPERIOR CREATOR OF THE COMMON LAW, AND WHERE THOSE GOVERNING ARE ACCOUNTABLE TO THE GOVERNED .

21) The UK Supreme court, reaffirming that they have had lawful authority for centuries to settle disputes in their unanimous 11 judge Supreme Court ruling in *R (Miller) v The Prime Minister and Cherry v Advocate General for Scotland ([2019] UKSC 41)*

- **a)** The determination is simple and logical, reaffirming "Common law precedence" introduced by Lord Ellesmere (1596-1617) who *".... began to apply the same principle in all cases, instead of following the inclination of the moment under the name of conscience...'* Snell's Equity 29th Edition (1990), Part 1, §2, at 2(b).
- **b) REAFFIRMING: the monarch (Royal prerogative to govern) is subordinate to law of the land and** ***"... the King hath no prerogative, but that which the law of the land allows him...",*** and "***...indicating that the limits of prerogative powers were set by law and were determined by the courts ... the courts have exercised a supervisory jurisdiction over the decisions of the executive for centuries..",*** and
- **c) REAFFIRMING:. Those acting for HM Government are accountable to Parliament only in regards policy and efficiency** *"..They are accountable to Parliament for what they do so far as regards efficiency and policy, and of that Parliament is the only judge; ..",*
- **d) REAFFIRMING: those acting in Parliament and HM Government are accountable to the people in the courts**: *"...they are responsible to a court of justice for the lawfulness of what they do, and of that the court is the only judge.'*
- **e) REAFFIRMING: no exceptions** including *"...the Secretary of State could not order searches of private property without authority conferred by an Act of Parliament or the common law."*
- **f) where, in any event, equitably *"secretary of state"* is any officer of the state.**

g) And back to where we started, the "law of the land" = common law precedence as established by Lord Ellesmere!

22) **Lawful excuse from existing binding precedence is equitably argued in the name of conscience, as legislatively affirmed** in §49 of the Senior Court Act 1981 that the rules of equity prevail in the event of a conflict with the common law (the existing binding precedence) and what is fair and just is determined by each individual's conscience.

23) Applying the rules of equity to the binding precedence in the settlement of the dispute between *Furgeson v Wilson [COURT OF APPEAL IN CHANCERY] [L R] 2 Ch App 77 HEARING-DATES: 5, 6, 7 November 1866 Cairns LJ said:*
"What is the position of directors [office by any fictional name] *of a public company?* [Organisation by any fictional name] *They are merely agents* [office by any fictional name] *of a company* [organisation by any fictional name]. *The company* [organisation by any fictional name] *itself cannot act in its own person, for it has no person: it can only act through* [office by any fictional name].

24) **Therefore, by impossibility and equitably:** Any fiction of law organisation can only act through the people filling its fiction of law offices, and therefore the fiction of law cannot act unlawfully by impossibility**, and hence its actors are always liable in person affirming** ***'Equity acts in personum and will not suffer a wrong without a remedy'***

WHAT IS A 'BREACH OF THE PEOPLE'S PEACE'?

25) A BREACH OF THE PEACE occurs when another trespasses upon our mind, or our body, without lawful excuse, once their needs for survival are satisfied.

26) Before breaching another's peace we must have reasonable grounds upon which we claim lawful excuse to breach another's peace, **which at the must time be within our knowledge,** as settled in the binding precedence of Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis v Raissi: CA

12 Nov 2008 [2009] 3 All ER 14, [2009] PTSR 666, [2009] 2 WLR 1243, [2009] QB 564, [2008] EWCA Civ 1237) at 14 " following orders" is not a defence...".

27) Reasonable grounds to breach another's peace are:

a) **Has anyone been harmed** (NO VICTIM = NO HARM), **OR**

b) **Does someone intend to cause harm**, to a person, or to his/her property, by

i) putting such a person in fear of such harm, through an assault, affray, a riot, unlawful assembly or another disturbance, **OR**

c) **By contract** affirmed by: GOV.UK Pre-Action Protocol for debt claims https://www.justice.gov.uk/courts/procedure-rules/civil/pdf/protocols/debt-pap.pdf

i) **This includes ANY PUBLIC BODY at 1.1**

ii) Is there reasonable evidence of an agreement, giving the claimed right of enforcement anyone believes they have, showing that the other is under a duty, and hence under obligation, to perform a requested command

RELAVENT CODIFIED BREACHES OF THE PEOPLE'S PEACE INCLUDE:

28) **DISCRIMINATION** is codified common law in the Equality Act 2010 which can be found here: https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/15/contents. Section 10.Religion or belief specifically includes:

a) philosophical beliefs, and

b) a lack of a belief,

c) **thereby making the questioning of the madness of the crowd lawful**, and

d) anyone who restricts that is liable to 26.Harassment and 27.Victimisation and can be sued in their personal capacity including,

e) **If discrimination is practiced in the workplace it is unlawful**, and grounds for suing employers resulting from UNFAIR DISMISSAL.

f) §217 - Scotland included except §190 (home improvements) and Part 15 (Family property).

29) HARASSMENT AND STALKING are codified common law in the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 which you can find here: https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1997/40/contents.

a) Section 7. Interpretation of this group of sections. defines the **same action being done twice to the same person on at least 2 occasions to one person in a group.**

i) Hence, by expressing this verbally, preferably confirmed in writing for your evidence, should you need to take the matter for public dispute resolution,

ii) you remove lawful excuse of honest mistake, and

iii) that should be sufficient, as

iv) any further actions would fall below the standard of a reasonable person.

v) This is simply bullying to get people to follow your command!

b) Section 1. Prohibition of harassment. details that the person should know their conduct is unacceptable; section 2. Offence of harassment. details the consequences, ranging from summary conviction to imprisonment up to 6 months.

c) Section 2A.Offence of stalking details more devious methods of harassment, and I specifically include the complete list for obvious reasons, as those governing, including any public organisation, are personally liable for their actions, as previously detailed, order following is not lawful excuse:

(a) following a person,

(b) contacting, or attempting to contact, a person by any means,

(c) publishing any statement or other material—

(i) relating or purporting to relate to a person, or

(ii) purporting to originate from a person,

(d) **monitoring the use by a person of the internet, email or any other form of electronic communication, (AUTHORITIES BEWARE!)**

(e) loitering in any place (whether public or private),

(f) interfering with any property in the possession of a person,

(g) watching or spying on a person. **(AUTHORITIES BEWARE!)**

d) §14 Scotland only section 8 - 11 Harassment inc 'alarm and distress'.

30) FRAUD: Should you continue in your belief that your actions are lawful, and the court rules they are unlawful, that constitutes codified common law crime, in the Fraud Act 2006, under

section 2.Fraud by false representation, and / or section 3.Fraud by failing to disclose information, and or section 4.Fraud by abuse of position, and includes 11.Obtaining services dishonestly for your personal gain of your wages or salary, and at the expense of the public, by taxes or higher prices, to pay your wages or salary, which can result in upto 10 years imprisonment plus a fine.

31) §15 Penalties differ in Scotland.

32) TAMPERING WITH YOUR EVIDENCE - phones, cameras etc...

- **a)** The police have no lawful right to tamper with any of your recording equipment, which forms part of your evidence.
- **b)** They may claim legal right, but in the event of a conflict with the common law, equity prevails in accordance with §49 of The Senior Courts Act 1981.
- **c)** They must lawfully have all the evidence at the time of any claimed breach of the peace,
- **d)** at the time of arrest,
- **e)** failing which they are acting unlawfully, and
- **f)** Should they tamper with your evidence that is a criminal offence...
- **g)** THE SAME GOES FOR COUNCIL ENFORCERS...
- **h)** SO get familiar with sections 25 through 30...
- **i)** ALL ARE EQUAL UNDER THE LAW
- **j)** NO ONE IS ABOVE THE LAW....
- **k)** SO IF THEY CAN DO IT SO CAN YOU, AND
- **l)** IF THEY ASK YOU WHY YOU ARE OUT... IF YOU CAN BE OUT SO CAN I, FOLLOWING YOUR EXAMPLE!

end of section.

WARNING: DEFAULT NOTICE - OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY RE:

CAUTION - Unlawful Breach of the People's Peace by causing:

Alarm and distress by discrimination, harassment and misrepresentation, in respect to COVID-19.

CEASE your claimed enforcement rights, and DESIST until this dispute settled.

Notice to principal is notice to agent, notice to agent is notice to principal

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE - WARNING

,

Date:

Receipt confirmed and signed for by recipient of notice:

Name: ***enter your first name and surname*** **;**

Contactable at: ***enter easiest regular contact details***

;

Should the recipient refuse service either:

Witness of service 1: ***enter your first name and surname***

Contactable at: ***enter easiest regular contact details***

Signature:

Witness of service 2: ***enter your first name and surname***

Contactable at: ***enter easiest regular contact details***

Signature:

OR attach your signed for, delivery receipt.

OR Attach email proof of delivery (ideally confirmation too busy so will look at later, BUT ALWAYS